	Case 2:22-cv-01193-DAD-KJN Docum	ent 8 Filed 11/02/22 Page 1 of 2	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	FRANCISCO C. RAMIREZ, et al.,	No. 2:22-cv-01193-DAD-KJN	
12	Plaintiffs,		
13	v.	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE	
14	CITY OF SACRAMENTO, et al.,		
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	Plaintiffs filed a complaint initiating this action on July 7, 2022. (Doc. No. 1.) A		
18	summons was issued on July 8, 2022. (Doc. No. 2.) To date, plaintiffs have not filed any proofs		
19	of service of the summons and complaint, nor have plaintiffs filed any requests for an extension		
20	of time in which to effect service of process. In addition, plaintiffs have not filed a scheduling		
21	status report as required by the court's order setting this case for an initial scheduling conference		
22	on November 15, 2022. (Doc. No. 6.) Indeed, plaintiffs have not communicated with the court at		
23	all since filing their complaint.		
24	Rule 4(m) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides the time limit for service,		
25	stating that that "[i]f a defendant is not served within 90 days after the complaint is filed, the		
26	court—on motion or on its own after notice to the plaintiff—must dismiss the action without		
27	prejudice against that defendant or order that service be made within a specified time." Fed. R.		
28	Civ. P. 4(m).		
		1	

## Case 2:22-cv-01193-DAD-KJN Document 8 Filed 11/02/22 Page 2 of 2

Accordingly, plaintiffs are ordered to show cause within seven (7) days from the date of entry of this order why this action should not be dismissed due to plaintiffs' failure to comply with court orders and failure to serve the defendants in compliance with Rule 4(m) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Alternatively, within seven (7) days from the date of entry of this order, plaintiffs may file a notice of voluntary dismissal pursuant to Rule 41. Plaintiffs are warned that their failure to comply with this order will result in this action being dismissed due to plaintiffs' failure to comply with court orders and failure to comply with Rule 4. The initial scheduling conference currently set for November 15, 2022 is hereby vacated,

The initial scheduling conference currently set for November 15, 2022 is hereby vacated to be reset if necessary and appropriate.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 2, 2022

UNITED STATES DISTRICT HIDGE